APR 1 4 2010

Dept Of Ecology
Toxics Cleanup Program

3536 Arsenal Way Bremerton, Washington 98312 April 9, 2010

Department of Ecology P. O. Box 47600 Olympia, Washington 98504

Attn: Tim L. Nord

Re: Notice of Potential Liability

Dear Mr. Nord:

I am the owner of a portion of the property involved, which is located at 1701 Pennsylvania Avenue and is correctly Assessor's Parcel No. 3741-000-022-0101.

While I realize that mere ownership may impose a measure of liability, and that some of the actual polluters can no longer be held accountable because they no longer exist in a legal form, there are others who should be on your list of PLP's.

There were three easily identified sources of most of the site pollution. The Coal Gasification Plant disposed of huge quantities of Slag on site; the City of Bremerton operated a Garbage dump on the Site; and an oil company maintained a dock for barges with extensive piping, storage tanks and delivery truck loading facilities. The City of Bremerton should certainly be a PLP, since it was directly involved in both the coal gasification plant and the dump. In light of the generally prevailing standards of the 1930's, it is almost certain that industrial waste such as old electric transformers and various chemicals found their way into the dump, along with coal slag. Pedersen Oil Co. and Mobil Oil Co. operated the barge off loading and tank farm at 1702 Pennsylvania and at least Mobil's corporate successor is still around. My property at 1701 was operated by Gene Lobe, Inc., an Atlantic Richfield Distributor, and both Gene Lobe as an individual and Atlantic Richfield are still in existence. Just how the dock was shared, or if they had separate docks I do not know.

There are others such as Lent's Inc. who operated industrial activities on the site over the years, but I have no direct knowledge of what their activities might have contributed. And in any case, there may not be any legally responsible entity still in existence.

I am unable to ascertain whether my listing is simply by reason of being an owner or if it is your position that my late husband or my self somehow contributed to this situation. It is my belief that the very limited activity which took place on the property after we acquired it in no way added to, or

contributed to the release of the contaminants on the property. Nothing was done, other than park some vehicles, on the property after the 1994 letter from your organization.

My cooperation in your efforts is assured, but I strongly feel that the great bulk of the cleanup work should be borne by those who made the mess, and/or the public in their stead. To make successor owners the fall guys because the actual polluters no longer can be sued is not fair or realistic.

Sincerely,

Natacha Sesko

NS:wf

Cc: Tupper Mack Brower PLLC

Paul McConkey